UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,648	11/25/2003	Frank L. Rees	Greene-P1-03	7313
	7590 08/31/2007 ZVNA FSO		EXAMINER	
PETER K. TRZYNA, ESQ. P O BOX 7131			LOBO, IAN J	
CHICAGO, IL	60680		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3662	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination				
	10/722,648		REES, FRANK L.				
			Art Unit				
	lan J. Lobo		3662				
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC						
Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review							
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 7/12/07.							
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Recreason(s):</li> </ol>	quest is imprope	r and a confere	nce will not be held fo	or the following			
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not included a proposed amendment is included. ☐ Other:	le reasons why a	a review is appr	opriate.	quest.			
The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office commends							
2. Proceed to Board of Patent held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month for running from the receipt of the notice appeal brief is extendible under 37 of the notice of appeal, as applicable	r appeal because f in accordance v rom mailing this e of appeal, whic CFR 1.136 base	e there is at leas with 37 CFR 41 decision, or the chever is greate	st one actual issue fo 37. The time period to balance of the two-mer. Further, the time p	r appeal. Applicant for filing an appeal nonth time period eriod for filing of the			

☑ The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows:

action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by

4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office

(3)lan J. Lobo.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

All participants:

(1) Thomas H. Tarcza.

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_.
Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_.
Claim(s) rejected: 1,64,65.

applicant at this time.